

### Overview

United Nations Action against Sexual Violence in Conflict (UN Action) unites efforts across the UN system with the goal of preventing conflict-related sexual violence (CRSV), meeting survivors' needs and enhancing accountability for CRSV. Launched in March 2007, the network currently embraces 23 UN system entities, namely: CTED, DPO, DPPA, IOM, ITC, OCHA, ODA, OHCHR, OSAPG, OSGEY, OSRSG-CAAC, OSRSG-VAC, UNAIDS, UNDGC, UNDP, UNEP, UNFPA, UNHCR, UNICEF, UNOCT, UNODC, UN Women and WHO, as well as the Office of the SRSG on Sexual Violence in Conflict (OSRSG-SVC) and is supported by a Secretariat located in the Office of the SRSG-SVC in her capacity as Chair of the network.

In June 2007, the Secretary-General's Policy Committee endorsed UN Action as "a critical joint UN system-wide initiative to guide advocacy, knowledge-building, resource mobilization, and joint programming around sexual violence in conflict". In all relevant resolutions, the Security Council mentions UN Action as a critical interagency coordination forum to address CRSV.

In line with relevant Security Council resolutions 1820 (2009) SCR 1888 (2009), SCR 2106 (2013) and SCR 2467 (2019), UN Action seeks to synergize efforts of humanitarian, human rights, development, political, peacekeeping and security actors to address CRSV – reinforcing good practice, strengthening coordination and avoiding duplication of effort. To achieve this synergy of action, UN Action harnesses the comparative strengths of each UN entity for a force-multiplier effect, recognizing that sexual violence requires a broad-based, multisectoral response.

UN Action is the main forum through which the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict (SRSG-SVC) promotes cooperation and coordination of efforts among all relevant stakeholders (SCR 1888). The SRSG-SVC, Ms. Pramila Patten, has articulated a three-pillar priority strategy that serves as a guiding approach for the 2020 – 2025 Strategic Framework of UN Action:

- a) Converting cultures of impunity into cultures of deterrence;
- b) Addressing structural gender-based inequality as the root cause and invisible driver of sexual violence in times of war and peace; and
- c) Fostering national ownership and leadership for a sustainable, survivor-centred response that empowers civil society and women's rights defenders.



# Guiding Principles

UN Action's efforts are guided by the following core principles:

- Rape is **not** an inevitable consequence of conflict. It must be prevented.
- Gender-based violence (GBV), including sexual violence, is a violation of fundamental human dignity and rights.
- A survivor-centred, gender-sensitive approach is needed.
   While the majority of victims are women and girls, perpetrators also target men, boys, and people of diverse sexual orientation and gender identity.
- To prevent CRSV, its root causes must be addressed. Consequently, attempts to end sexual violence must be based on interventions that promote gender equality by empowering women and girls and protecting and promoting their human rights.
- The constructive **involvement of men and boys** is vital.
- Advocacy and programming efforts to end conflict-related sexual violence must be guided by local women's groups, particularly those that provide direct support to survivors.
- Sexual violence in conflict is one of history's greatest silences. We all have a duty to act.







## Problem Statement

Several factors contribute to CRSV and impede adequate prevention and response.

Structural gender-based inequalities and harmful social norms, which drive sexual violence in peacetime, further expose affected populations (in particular, women and girls) to sexual violence when protective systems and structures are weakened or destroyed during conflict. Lack of access to and availability of comprehensive, multisectoral services for CRSV survivors impedes their recovery and resilience, and a lack of comprehensive analysis of CRSV trends, risks and patterns limits evidence-based and impactful solutions to CRSV. Ineffective coordination of simultaneous engagements by different UN actors in supporting protection, peace and development in conflict and post-conflict countries results in missed opportunities to foster national ownership for prevention and sustainable, holistic survivor-centred responses, and restricts the UN's ability to deliver a systemwide, strategic and value-added approach to tackling CRSV.

To address contributing factors and barriers to effective prevention and response, the UN Action network commits to work towards **five strategic outcomes during 2020** - **2025** in order to contribute to the overall goal that CRSV is prevented, survivors' needs are met, and accountability is enhanced.

# Theory of Change

The underlying premise of UN Action's theory of change (ToC) is Sustainable rooted in the Development Goals, specifically SDGs 5 and 16: gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls, and peaceful and inclusive societies with access to justice for all effective, accountable and and institutions, inclusive the foundations for preventing CRSV, meetina survivors' needs and enhancing accountability.

The ToC outlines the goal that UN Action strives towards and maps backwards to articulate the five outcomes that need to be achieved for this goal to be realized. Each output describes the conditions that are necessary to ensure that the outcomes are achieved. In this way, the ToC articulates a means-end relationship (i.e. if ABC, then XYZ). The ToC should be read from the top, vertically downward.

1 UN Action recognizes that system-wide efforts and collaboration between States, UN entities including the Team of Experts, non-governmental organizations and civil society is required to realize this goal.

If the UN Action network fulfils its role as a consultative forum on CRSV and a platform for coordinating advocacy and implementing joint gap-filling CRSV-focused interventions, then UN agencies cooperate and share information to reinforce coordination and coherence and improve the system-wide response and implementation of UN Security Council resolutions on CRSV.

If comprehensive and multisectoral assistance, including medical, psychosocial, livelihoods and justice services are available and accessible to survivors, and CRSV prevention and risk mitigation measures are implemented in a survivor-centred manner, including through strategies and plans to implement Joint Communiqués, then survivors and at-risk groups are supported and protected and CRSV risks are prevented and mitigated.

If joint and sustained advocacy targets duty-bearers and decision makers to make and implement commitments to address CRSV, including its root causes and capacity and technical expertise of institutional, operational, national and other key actors is strengthened to prevent CRSV, respond to survivors' needs and strengthen legislation, investigations and prosecutions in line with guiding principles and a survivor centred approach, then duty-bearers and decision-makers take action to address both the immediate risks as well as the root causes of CRSV at the global and national level and promote compliance and accountability.

If guidance policies and tools are developed to fill gaps in knowledge, practices, advocacy and technical expertise for improved prevention of and response to CRSV and its root causes in conflict prevention/resolution, political, peacekeeping, peacebuilding, humanitarian and development processes, and such guidance, policies and tools are shared within the UN Action network and other relevant actors, then UN action member entities contribute to advancing the CRSV/WPS agenda in a way that is informed by relevant guidance, policies and tools on addressing CRSV and its root causes.

If safe, ethical, and gender-responsive data management and analysis methods are used, WPAs are deployed and the MARA is strengthened, and safe, ethical, and effective coordination is promoted across CRSV information management platforms, then reliable, timely, and objective information on CRSV trends, risks and patterns supports evidence-based high-level advocacy, enhances pressure on parties to conflict and informs impactful, survivor-centred solutions.

PROBLEM: Structural gender-based inequalities and harmful social norms, which drive sexual violence in peacetime, further expose affected populations (in particular women and girls) to sexual violence when protective systems and structures are weakened or destroyed during conflict. Lack of access to [and availability of] comprehensive, multisectorial services for CRSV survivors impedes their recovery and resilience, and a lack of comprehensive analysis of CRSV trends, risks and patterns limits evidence-based and impactful solutions to CRSV. Ineffective coordination of simultaneous engagements by different UN actors in supporting protection, peace and development in conflict and post-conflict countries results in missed opportunities to foster national ownership for prevention and sustainable, holistic survivor-centred responses, and restricts the UN's ability to deliver a system-wide, strategic and value-added approach to tackling CRSV.

### GOAL: CRSV is prevented, survivors' needs are met, and accountability is enhanced

#### **General Outcome**

Coordination UN agencies cooperate and share information to reinforce coordination and coherence and improve the system-wide response and implementation of UN Security Council resolutions on CRSV.

### **General Output**

UN Action Network fulfils its role as a consultative forum on CRSV and a platform for coordinating advocacy and implementing joint gapfilling CRSV-focused interventions.

UN Action Theory of Change

### Outcome 1: Prevention, Protection and Support for Survivors

Survivors and at-risk groups are supported and protected and CRSV risks are prevented and mitigated (Res 1820).

### Output 1.1

Comprehensive and multisectoral assistance, including medical, psychosocial, safety/security, livelihoods, and justice services are available and accessible to survivors.

### Output 1.2

CRSV prevention and risk mitigation measures implementation in a survivorcentred manner, including through strategies and plans to implement Joint Communiqués.

### Outcome 2: Capacity Building and Strategic Engagement

Duty-bearers and decision-makers take action to address both the immediate risks as well as the root causes of CRSV at the global level and national level and promote compliance and accountability.

### Output 2.1

Joint and sustained advocacy targets duty-bearers and decision makers to make and implement commitments to address CRSV, including its root causes.

### Output 2.2

Capacity and technical expertise of institutional, operational, national and other key actors are strengthened to prevent CRSV, respond to survivors' needs and strengthen legislation, investigations and prosecutions in line with guiding principles and a survivor-centred approach.

### Outcome 3: Guidance, Policies and Tools

UN Action member entities contribte to advancing the implementation of the CRSV/WPS agenda in a way that is informed by relevant guidance, policies and tools on addressing CRSV and its root causes.

### Output 3.1

Guidance, policies and tools are developed to fill gaps in knowledge, practices, advocacy and technical expertise for improved prevention of and respond to CRSV and its root causes in conflict prevention/resolution, peacekeeping, peacebuilding, humanitarian and development processes.

### Output 3.2

Guidance, policies and tools are shared within the UN Action network and other relevant actors to consistently integrate measures to address CRSV in conflict prevention/resolution, peacekeeping, peacebuilding, humanitarian and development processes.

#### Outcome 4: Data

Reliable, timely and objective information on CRSV trends, risks and patterns supports evidence-based high-level advocacy, enhances engagement with and pressure on parties to conflict and informs impactful, survivor-centred solutions.

### Output 4.1

Safe, ethical and genderresponsive data management and analysis methods are used, WPAs are deployed and the MARA is strengthened.

### Output 4.2

Safe, ethical and effective coordination is promoted across CRSV information management platforms.

# Approach

UN Action works through a network of Focal Points at the technical level based the headquarters of each member entity. Focal Points implement activities for the network, which they identify and are included as priority activities for a given period in the last section of the 2020 - 2025 Strategic Framework. The Focal Points also support the link to UN Missions and UN Country Teams, which is critical for preventing CRSV, meeting survivor's needs, and enhancing accountability. The Focal Points meet on a monthly basis to discuss ongoing priorities and issues of concern.<sup>2</sup>

Strategic decisions on addressing CRSV are taken by the UN Action Steering Committee, in which all UN Action member entities are represented at the Principal level.<sup>3</sup>

The network is supported by a Secretariat, located in the Office of the SRSG on Sexual Violence in Conflict, headed by the UN Action Coordinator.<sup>4</sup>

The work of UN Action is generally supported through a dedicated window in the Conflict-related Sexual Violence Multi-Partner Trust Fund (CRSV-MPTF) aimed at supporting global and country efforts to prevent CRSV and respond more effectively to the needs of survivors. The Fund intends to: (i) streamline joint programming, (ii) strengthen governance and financial management systems, and (iii) standardize reporting to donors. 6

UN Action coordinates its activities with other related coordination- and action-oriented structures such as the Gender-Based Violence Area of Responsibility (GBV AoR), given the heightened risks of violence, exploitation and abuse in humanitarian settings. UN Action and the Team of Experts (TOE) coordinate their efforts at the country level in the areas of strengthening the rule of law, access to justice and ensuring accountability for CRSV. UN Action also works in complement to the Global Focal Points for Rule of Law, in line with the priorities outlined in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which calls for addressing the structural drivers of conflict and building stable, equitable societies by reinforcing institutions that uphold the rule of law and therefore, provide adapted, gender-sensitive and accessible responses to survivors of CRSV and groups at risk.

As a network, UN Action provides strategic support to country-level action on CRSV, contributes to advocacy and strengthening a system-wide approach to CRSV, and helps to build the evidence base for effective and timely prevention of and response to CRSV. To this end, members of UN Action regularly coordinate and work collectively to contribute high-level advocacy, human resources, financial resources, and technical expertise including through direct field support, capacity building, development of policies, guidance, best practices, and lessons learned.

<sup>2</sup> See: Terms of Reference for UN Action Focal Points

<sup>3</sup> See: Terms of Reference for the UN Action Steering Committee

<sup>4</sup> See: Terms of Reference for UN Action Secretariat

<sup>5</sup> The SRSG-SVC, as the Chair of UN Action, shall make every effort to secure funds to implement the Network's Strategic Framework. The OSRSG-SVC shall consider the use of its extra-budgetary resources to ensure the business continuity of the UN Action Secretariat under circumstances of severe funding constraints of the CRSV-MPTF.

<sup>6</sup> See: TORs of the CRSV-MPTF and TORs of the UN Action Window for more information on the governance structure of the Trust Fund

### GOAL: CRSV is prevented, survivors' needs are met, and accountability is enhanced

OUTCOMES							
		General Outputs Indicators	General Output	Indicators			
	UN agencies cooperate and share information to		UN Action fulfils its role as a consultative forum on CRSV and a platform for coordinating advocacy and implementing joint jap-filling CRSV-focused interventions	<ol> <li># of joint gap-filling CRSV-focused interventions, such as projects and Focal Points initiatives</li> <li># of coordinated advocacy initiatives on CRSV that contribute to improved systemwide response and implementation of UN Security Council resolutions on CRSV</li> <li># of UNA coordination meetings by Focal Points and the Secretariat, including with other relevant GBV-related networks and actors</li> <li># of joint or coordinated activities with other GBV-related networks and actors</li> </ol> Baseline			
GENERAL OUTCOME	•	3.9/5  Cooperation: "There is a clear will to ensure good cooperation but as it is the case in many coalitions, some members will always have more to contribute"		<ul> <li>1.1 project, 1 joint statement on ceasefire, 1 digital library, 1 policy brief on covid (4)</li> <li>2.6 initiatives (Strategic Retreat; Launch of Website; Publication of Final UNA-MPTF Report; Joint Statement on Global Ceasefire; Drop-in Session to support Field Colleagues; Collection of Recommendations for establishment of GSF)</li> <li>3. 13 meetings (12 meetings + 1 drop in session)</li> <li>4.0</li> </ul>			

### 2. Cooperation 3.3/5; Coordination 3.4/5; Coherence 3.4/5

Cooperation: "Spaces are created for all actors to connect and present on their initiatives. The network is being updated on current developments"

Coordination: "In the field UN Action is not very well known. While recruiting a P3, I had asked a question about what UN Action is in the multiple choice test. Less than half of the candidates knew it, although many came from HRD and related Mission areas. Also, UN Action member organizations don't make use of the forum in the field for coordination/building synergies."

Coherence: "UN agencies have been coherent in advocacy and technical support for the Yazidi survivor law that was enacted by Iraq Parliament on March 1st."

### Target

General

**Outcome** 

- 1. "Well-functioning" based on numerical scale and examples from FPs survey
- 2. "Well-functioning" based on numerical scale and examples from FPs, WPAs and UNCTs

### Measuring tools

- 1. Annual survey with Focal Points, containing question(s) about collaboration and UN Action's "force-multiplier" effect synergies
- 2. Annual UN Action progress report, NFRs of Focal Points meetings, Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs

### Target

- 1.8 annually (incl projects and FPs initiatives) starting in 2021
- 2.2 annually
- 3.0 in 2020, then 2 annually
- 4.0 in 2020, then 1 annually

### Measuring tools

- 1. Project documents, Record of UN Action Secretariat of gaps assessments
- 2. Annual survey with UN Action Focal Points
- 3. NFR of meetings, including with other relevant coordination networks and actors
- 4. Reports of joint or coordinated activities

<sup>7</sup> The number of the measuring tool corresponds to the number of the indicator above

		Outcome 1 Indicators	Output 1.1	Indicators (1.1)	Output 1.2	Indicators (1.2)
OUTCOME 1	Survivors and at-risk groups are supported and protected and CRSV risks are prevented and mitigated (Res 1820)	1. Survivors and at-risk groups have increased access to multisectoral response services through UN Action funded projects 2. Survivors of CRSV are satisfied with the multisectoral services received 3. Increased actions to contribute to safety and security of survivors and atrisk groups  Baseline 1. 123 survivors (1 project) 2.0 3.0  Target 1.0 in 2020. Then 500 survivors benefitting from increased access to services annually 2. 80% average client satisfaction level for projects implemented through the MPTF 3.0 in 2020, then 10 annually (8 from projects, 2 from actions taken by WPAs/UNCTs)	Comprehensive and multisectoral assistance, including medical, psychosocial, safety/security, livelihoods and justice services are available and accessible to survivors	<ul> <li>1. Instances of UN Action advocacy for prioritization of GBV/CRSV<sup>8</sup> related activities in humanitarian response plans (HRPs) and refugee response plans (RRPs) and regional refugee and resilience plan (3RPs)</li> <li>2. % survivor satisfaction with services to which they are referred</li> <li>3. % of UN Action-funded projects that include two or more sectors of assistance for survivors</li> <li>Baseline</li> <li>1.0</li> <li>2.0</li> <li>3. 100% (1 Project)</li> <li>Target</li> <li>1.2 Annually (at HQ level)</li> <li>2.80% average client satisfaction level for projects implemented through the MPTF</li> <li>3.80%</li> </ul>	CRSV prevention and risk mitigation measures are implemented in a survivor-centred manner, including through strategies and plans to implement the Joint Communiqués	1.% of UN Action-funded projects that tackle the root causes of CRSV 2.% of strategies/action plans to implement Joint Communiqués (JC) developed in consultation with local women's organizations 3. # of Missions and UNCTs that use early warning indicators on CRSV developed by UN Action in order to take action to prevent and mitigate the risks of CRSV  Baseline 1.100% (1 Project) 2.0 3.3 (Iraq, Mali, South Sudan)  Target 1.100% - contribute to tackling root causes of CRSV 2.100% 3.TBD after setting baseline

<sup>8</sup> CRSV survivors receive services through GBV referral pathways

<b>~</b>
Щ
$\geq$
OUTCO
Н
Þ
0

### **Measuring tools**

- Project reports and project monitoring documents
- Client satisfaction survey implemented by project CRSV service providers
- 3. Project reports (UN Action Secretariat will draft and share sample indicators with project entities) and consultations with WPAs

### **Measuring tools**

- UN Action Secretariat tracking table of joint advocacy initiatives
- 2. Client satisfaction survey implemented by project CRSV service providers

Indicators (2.1)

commitments to be made

1.# of times UN Action

following support to

advocates for

3.UN Action project documents

Measuring tools

- 1. UNA project documents
- 2.OSRSG-SVC and UN
  Action members to share
  information on
  participation of local
  women's organizations
  on an annual basis.
- Annual WPA meeting or other consultation with WPAs

Duty-bearers
and decision
makers take
action to
address both
the
immediate
risks as well
as the root
causes of
CRSV at the
global and
national
level and
promote
compliance
and

accountabilit

**OUTCOME 2** 

### 1.# of Member States that dedicate resources to the UN Action window of the CRSV-MPTF 2.# of situations where UN

**Outcome 2 Indicators** 

- 2.# of situations where UN
  Action supported translation
  of JCs/FoCs into related
  action plans (developing
  support)
- 3.# of situations where UN
  Action supported the
  implementation of action
  plans of JCs/FoCs
  (implementing support)
- 4.% of 1325 country NAPs updated or developed during the period under review that specifically address CRSV as a result of UN Action members' advocacy support

Joint and sustained advocacy targets duty-bearers and decision makers to make and implement commitments to address CRSV, including its root causes

Output 2.1

develop or review Joint
Communiqués and
implementation plans
2. # of normative/legislative
development/review
processes on CRSV and its
root causes initiated in
countries as a result of UN
Action members'
advocacy / support

Capacity and technical expertise of institutional. operational, national and other key actors are strengthened to prevent CRSV, respond to survivors' needs and strengthen legislation, investigations and prosecutions in line with guiding principles and a survivor-centred approach

Output 2.2

1.# of governments supported to develop and implement policies and laws that address CRSV

Indicators (2.2)

- 2.# of UN Action activities on justice and accountability
- 3.% of those activities coordinated with the TOE
- 4. # of situations where UN
  Action has supported
  capacity-building
  activities on addressing
  CRSV in line with guiding
  principles and a survivorcentred approach.
- centred approach.

  5.% of these situations
  where these activities
  explicitly: a. address root
  causes of CRSV b.
  address prevention of
  CRSV or c. directly target
  women's organizations

	Baseline	Baseline	Baseline
	1.8 Member States	1.0	1. Somalia (project),
	2.0	2.0	Afghanistan, Bangladesh,
	3.0		BH, Cameroon, CAR,
	4. Unclear		Chad, Colombia, CIV,
			DRC, Ethiopia, Iraq, Libya,
			Mali, Myanmar, Nepal,
			Nigeria, South Sudan,
			Sudan, Yemen (21)
			2.0
			3.0
			4.0
			5.0 (currently 100%, 1
			project)
7	Target	Target	Target
OUTCOME 2	1.5 Member States annually	1.4 advocacy products /	1.6 annually
8	2.1 annually from 2021	events annually	2.2 annually from 2021
Š	3.4 annually from 2021	2.2 annually from 2021	3.90 %
0	4.a. 50% b. 100% + anecdotal		4.6 situations annually from
	examples		2021
			5.a. 50%, b. 50%, c. 25%
	Measuring tools	Measuring tools	Measuring tools
	1. MPTF Gateway	1. Advocacy products /	1. UN Action project reports;
	2.UNA Secretariat tracking	events, network M&E and	Annual Focal Points
	table	UN Action Secretariat	survey
	3. UNA project documents;	tracking table	2. Records of UN Action
	Annual FPs survey, OSRSG-	2.UN Action Secretariat	Secretariat and survey
	SVC and quarterly reports		with Focal Points
	from WPAs		3. Same as 2
	4. From UN Women:		4. UN Action project reports,
	information on countries with		TOE M&E and country
	NAP on 1325 regarding		level capacity building
	progress updates. Baseline,		documentation
	Midpoint, and End of SF		5. Same as 4
	survey with CRSV Focal		
	Points, WPAs and UNCTs		

		Outcome 3 Indicators	Output 3.1	Indicators (3.1)	Output 3.2	Indicators (3.2)
OUTCOME 3	agenda in a way that is informed by relevant guidance, policies, and tools on addressing	<ul><li>1.# of downloads of guidance documents from website</li><li>2. Increased uptake of relevant guidance, policies and tools</li></ul>	Guidance, policies and tools are developed to fill gaps in knowledge, practices, advocacy and technical expertise for improved prevention of and response to CRSV and its root causes in conflict prevention/ resolution, peacekeeping, peacebuilding, humanitarian and development processes	<ol> <li>Mapping and gap analysis of guidance, policies and tools ("guidance") conducted</li> <li># of guidance developed or updated based on gaps identified</li> <li>% of guidance products explicitly addressing a. root causes of CRSV b. prevention of CRSV c. response to CRSV</li> </ol>	Guidance, policies and tools are shared within the UN Action network and other relevant actors to consistently	<ul> <li>1. Indicators for each guidance document (e.g. dissemination activities for that product)</li> <li>2. # of events such as webinars or meetings on guidance</li> <li>3. % of guidance products shared through UN Action website</li> </ul>
		Baseline 1.0 2.0		1.0 2.0 3.0		Baseline 1. Roll-out plan implemented - No 2.0 3.0
		Target 1. 120 Annually 2. 'Yes, increased' annually, anecdotal		Target 1. Initiated in 2020, updated as needed 2. 2 annually from 2021. 3. a. 40%, b. 50%, c. 50%		Target  1. Roll out plan implemented - Yes  2. 1 per new product 3. 100%; Every new guidance product developed by UN Action is shared
		Measuring tools 1. UN Action website analytics 2. Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs (Anecdotal)		<ul> <li>Measuring tools</li> <li>1.UN Action Secretariat records</li> <li>2.UN Action Secretariat tracking</li> <li>3.UN Action Secretariat tracking of guidance products developed or updated</li> </ul>		Measuring tools  1. UN Action Secretariat tracking of guidance roll- out plans  2. UN Action Secretariat record of webinars; Survey on use and roll-out of guidance; Self-reporting by entities; Assessment of use of new products  3. UN Action Secretariat website

Reliable timely ar objectiv information on CRS trends, ris
support evidenc based hig level advocac enhance engageme with and pressure e parties te conflict ar informs impactfu survivor centrect solution

	Outcome 4 Indicators	Output 4.1	Indicators (4.1)	Output 4.2	Indicators (4.2)
ees nent nd e on to and as ful, or- ed ns	<ol> <li>Reliable, timely and objective information and analysis on CRSV trends, risks, and patterns is submitted by UN Action entities to OSRSG SVC to inform advocacy and interventions</li> <li>UN senior management uses reliable, timely and objective information on CRSV trends, risks and patterns for advocacy and engagement on CRSV</li> <li>Improvement of service provision by UNCT/HCT and implementing partners as a result of information and UN Action support</li> <li>Strengthened national policies on CRSV as a result of information provided by relevant information mechanisms and UN Action support</li> </ol>	Safe, ethical and gender-responsive data management and analysis methods are used, WPAs are deployed and the MARA is strengthened	<ul> <li>1.% of situations of concern <sup>4</sup> where the MARA working group meets on at least a quarterly basis <sup>5</sup></li> <li>2.% of situations of concerns with deployed WPAs</li> <li>3.# of MARA working groups supported through joint capacity building on safe and ethical collection, use, sharing and analysis of CRSV data</li> <li>4. Development of supplementary guidance on analysis of CRSV data and dissemination of this guidance to all situations of concern</li> </ul>	Safe, ethical, and effective coordination is promoted across CRSV information management platforms	<ul> <li>1.% of countries where both MARA<sup>6</sup> and GBVIMS are in place that have an agreed protocol for CRSV information sharing that aligns with the global guidance note</li> <li>2. Interactions on analysis and reporting of sexual violence at the country level between MARA and MRM are assessed and recommendations to strengthen synergies are made</li> <li>3. Coordination with relevant protection networks and actors for impactful solutions</li> </ul>
	Baseline 1.100% OSRSG-SVC - done on an adhoc/needs basis 2. Average of 3.7 times per entity 3.7 cases of field colleagues responding affirmatively (no cases documented) 4.6 cases documented via survey		Baseline 1.42.5% - 3/7 (based on survey) 2.44% (16 situations of concern, 7 WPAs deployed) 3.0 4. No		Baseline 1.1.63% (8 situations where MARA working group exists, 5 situations with ISPs as of August 2020) 7 2.6 countries where interactions were assessed – Angola, CAR, DRC, Iraq, Mali, South Sudan 3.11 countries where coordination with regional and national protection networks occurred – Angola

### Target

- 100% of information requests by OSRSG -SVC responded to
- At least 2 times annually per entity in 2021, then revisited based on progress monitored
- 3 documented examples of improvement in services in 2021 then revisited based on progress monitored
- 3 documented examples of progress towards strengthened national policies in 2021 then revisited based on progress monitored

### **Measuring tools**

- Examples from OSRSG -SVC on submissions received
- Head of entities and other senior leadership's statements and talking points including CRSV; UN Action Secretariat reporting on joint advocacy activities; annual survey with FPs
- Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs
- Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs

### Target

- 100%
- Annual increase to 75% by 2025.
- Capacity building for MARA working groups piloted in 2021 in one country; from 2022 onwards at least four MARA WGs per year receive support.
- Yes from 2021

### Measuring tools

- Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs
- OSRSG -SVC record keeping
- UNA, GBVIMS global team and MARA support team reports on training
- UN Action meeting NFRs and survey with Focal Points on guidance dissemination

BH, CAR, Colombia, DRC, Iraq, Libya, Mali, Nepal, Niger, South Sudan

### Target

- 100 %
- Interactions are assessed in 3 situations by 2022 and assessed in all situations by 2025
- Increase in efforts undertaken to coordinate with relevant protection networks

### Measuring tools

- Information provided by GBVIMS global team OSRSG - SVC; Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs
- Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs
- Anecdotal information from Baseline, Midpoint, and End of SF survey with CRSV Focal Points, WPAs and UNCTs and Annual Survey with FPs